



**U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers**

**Baltimore District
PN-20-18**

Public Notice

**In Reply to Application Number
NAB-2018-61826-M18 (Hamilton Chaney/Herrington
Harbour North Marina Improvements)**

Comment Period: March 13, 2020 to April 12, 2020

THE PURPOSE OF THIS PUBLIC NOTICE IS TO INFORM INTERESTED PARTIES OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY AND TO SOLICIT COMMENTS. NO DECISION HAS BEEN MADE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT A PERMIT WILL BE ISSUED AT THIS TIME.

This District has received an application for a Department of the Army permit pursuant to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (33 USC 403) and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1344), as described below:

APPLICANT:

Mr. Hamilton Chaney
Herrington Partnership, LLP
P.O. Box 40
Tracy's Landing, Maryland 20779

WATERWAY AND LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED WORK: The project is located in Rockhold Creek, at the Herrington Harbour Marina North, 389 Deale Road, Tracys Landing, Anne Arundel County, Maryland. Latitude: 38 - 46'20.59"N; Longitude: -76 - 33'58.34"W

OVERALL PROJECT PURPOSE: The purpose of the proposed work is to dredge and reconfigure the existing Herrington Harbour North marina in order to improve services within the existing marina basin and to protect the shoreline from erosion.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Herrington Harbour North Marina has applied to hydraulically or mechanically maintenance dredge 26.8 acres of their marina basin to - 7 feet mean low water; to deposit approximately 10,000 cubic yards of dredged material at an approved upland disposal site on the applicant's property; and to provide for periodic maintenance dredging for six years.

In addition, the marina has applied to complete the following work:

B Dock: To construct four 4' x 11' long extensions on four existing 56' x 4' wide finger piers; remove 5 mooring piles, and install 5 mooring piles within a maximum of 418' channelward of the approximate mean high water shoreline and within the 25' setback of the marina basin line.

C Dock: To construct an additional 22' x 30' wide platform deck for a pump out hut within a maximum of 498' channelward of the approximate mean high water shoreline.

Between D and E Docks: To expand the existing travel lift well from 40' x 16' wide to 65' x 21' wide; and to construct a new 50' x 17' wide travel lift well.

F Dock: Install 4 mooring piles and construct two 40'x 4' finger pier extensions.

G Dock: To remove a 500' main pier; one 124' pier; 54 mooring piles; 22 finger piers; a 68' T-head pier. In addition, construct a 8' x 472' main pier with a 1,630 sf

T-head; twenty 4' X 40' finger piers; one 8' X 53' pier; one 8' X124' pier; an 88' slotted timber breakwater fence; install 40 mooring piles.

H Dock: To remove a 445' pier, 52 mooring piles, 23 finger piers, and a 79' T-head. In addition, construct an 8' x 444' pier; nine 4' x 40' finger piers; one 4' x 73' finger pier; two 4' x 60' finger piers; one 4' x 55' finger pier; one 4' x 53' finger pier; three 4'x 50' finger piers; one 8' x 40' t-head; one 8' x 65' T-head; one 8' x 189' pier; 113' slotted timber breakwater fence; install 36 mooring piles.

N Dock: To reconfigure the pier by removing sixteen 10' x 3' wide finger piers; add twelve 30' x 4' wide finger piers; remove 44 mooring piles and install 28 mooring piles; construct a 66' x 8' wide "T" head; all extending a maximum of 223' channelward of the approximate mean high water shoreline.

O Dock: To reconfigure the pier by removing sixteen finger piers and adding fourteen 30' x 4' wide finger piers; remove 48 mooring piles and install 28 mooring piles; all extending a maximum of 238' channelward of the approximate mean high water shoreline.

P Dock: To reconfigure the pier by removing eighteen finger piers and adding five 35' x 4' wide finger piers; nine 30' x 4' wide finger piers; remove 50 mooring piles and install 30 mooring piles; all extending a maximum of 254' channelward of the approximate mean high water shoreline.

S Dock: to construct a 185' x 8' wide timber pier extending a maximum of 150' channelward of the approximate mean high water shoreline and within the 25' setback of the marina basin line.

In addition:

- to construct and backfill 900 linear feet (lf) of replacement timber bulkhead within a maximum of 18 inches channelward of a deteriorated bulkhead;
- to emplace 500' lf of stone revetment within a maximum of 4 feet channelward of the approximate mean high water line;
- to emplace 250' lf of riprap revetment within a maximum of 4 feet channelward of the approximate mean high water line;
- to emplace 288 lf of riprap revetment within a maximum of 4 feet channelward of the approximate mean high water line;
- to emplace 288 lf of low profile sill within a maximum of 25 feet channelward of the approximate mean high water line;

- to fill, grade, and plant marsh vegetation along 288 lf of eroding shoreline with 275 cubic yards of clean sand.
- to expand three existing travel lift wells as follows: an existing 50' x 18' wide to 71' x 21' wide; an existing 62' x 20' wide to 85' x 26' wide; an existing 40' x 16' wide to 65' x 21' wide.

EFFECTS ON AQUATIC RESOURCES

Activity	WOUS Impact	Authority
Marina Reconfiguration (piers)	4,536 sf	Section 10/404
Mechanical/Hydraulic Dredging	26.8 acres	Section 10/404

LEAD FEDERAL AGENCY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, as the lead federal agency, is responsible for all coordination pursuant to applicable federal authorities.

APPLICANT’S PROPOSED AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION, AND COMPENSATORY

MITIGATION: The proposed project is marina reconfiguration. The applicant designed the project to the minimal footprint necessary to achieve the project purpose successfully. No compensatory mitigation is proposed by the applicant.

CORPS EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS: This project will be evaluated pursuant to Corps Regulatory Program Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-332). The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts, including cumulative impacts of the proposed activity on the public interest. That decision will reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit, which reasonable may be expected to accrue from the proposal, must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors, which may be relevant to the proposal will be considered, including the cumulative effects thereof; among those are conservation, economic, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, cultural values, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, flood plain values, land use, navigation, shoreline erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, and consideration of property ownership and in general, the needs and welfare of the people. The evaluation of the impact of this project will also include application of the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ENDANGERED SPECIES: The lead federal agency is responsible for Endangered Species Act coordination. A preliminary review of this application indicates that the proposed work will have no effect federally-listed threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat, pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, as amended. As the evaluation of this application continues, additional information may become available which could modify this preliminary determination.

ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT: The lead federal agency is responsible for Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) coordination. The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA), as amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act of 1996 (Public Law 04- 267), requires all federal agencies to consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service on all actions, or proposed actions, permitted, funded, or undertaken by the agency that may adversely affect EFH, including species of concern, life cycle habitat, or Habitat Areas of Particular Concern. The project site lies in or adjacent to EFH as described under MSFCMA for managed species under the MSFCMA. The Baltimore District has made a preliminary determination that the project will not have a substantial adverse effect on EFH. The Baltimore District has made a preliminary determination that mitigative measures are not required to minimize adverse effects on EFH at this time. This determination may be modified if additional information indicates otherwise.

HISTORIC RESOURCES: The lead federal agency is responsible for historic resources coordination. Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 and applicable guidance, the Corps has reviewed the latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places and initially determined that no registered properties listed as eligible for inclusion, therein, are located at the site of the proposed work. The Corps has made the preliminary determination that the proposed project would have no effect on historic properties. The Corps final eligibility and effect determination will be based on coordination with the State Historic Preservation Office as appropriate and required, and with full consideration given to the proposed undertaking's potential direct and indirect effects on historic properties within the Corps' identified permit area.

TRIBAL RESOURCES: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act also requires federal agencies to consult with federally recognized American Indian tribes that attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by the agency's undertaking. The lead federal agency is responsible for tribal coordination. Corps Tribal Consultation Policy mandates an open, timely, meaningful, collaborative, and effective deliberative communication process that emphasizes trust, respect, and shared responsibility. The policy further emphasizes that, to the extent practicable and permitted by law, consultation works toward mutual consensus and begins at the earliest planning stages, before decisions are made and actions taken. The Corps final eligibility and effect determination will be based on coordination with interested tribes, in accordance with the Corps current tribal standard operating procedures as appropriate and required, and with full consideration given to the proposed undertaking's potential direct and indirect effects on tribal resources.

MODIFICATION OF CIVIL WORKS PROJECTS: 33 USC 408 (SECTION 408): All Section 408 proposals will be coordinated internally at USACE. The Section 408 decision will be issued along with the Section 404 and/or Section 10 decision. Please see the following link for more information regarding Section 408: <https://www.nab.usace.army.mil/section408/>.

WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION: The applicant is required to obtain a water quality certification in accordance with Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS: Where applicable, the applicant has certified in this application that the proposed activity complies with and will be conducted in a manner consistent with the approved Coastal Zone Management Program. By this public notice, we are requesting the state concurrence or objection to the applicant's consistency statement. The applicant must obtain any state or local government permits which may be required.

SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS: The Corps of Engineers is soliciting comments from the public; federal, state, and local agencies and officials; Indian tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this proposed activity. Any comments received will be considered by the Corps of Engineers to determine whether to issue, modify, condition or deny a permit for this proposal. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, general environmental effects, and the other public interest factors listed above. Comments are used in the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. Comments provided will become part of the public record for this action and are subject to release to the public through the Freedom of Information Act. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest of the proposed activity.

Written comments concerning the work described above related to the factors listed above or other pertinent factors must be received by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District within the comment period specified above through postal mail at the address below or electronic submission to the project manager email address below. Written comments should reference the Application Number: NAB-2018-61826-M18.

PUBLIC HEARING REQUESTS: Any person who has an interest which may be adversely affected by the issuance of this permit may request a public hearing. The request, which must be in writing, must be received within the comment period as specified above to receive consideration. Also it must clearly set forth the interest which may be adversely affected by this activity and the manner in which the interest may be adversely affected. The public hearing request may be submitted by electronic mail or mailed to the following address:

Mr. Richard Kibby
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District
Attn: Regulatory Branch
2 Hopkins Plaza
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

It is requested that you communicate this information concerning the proposed work to any persons known by you to be interested, who did not receive a copy of this notice.

General information regarding the Corps' permitting process can be found on our website at <https://www.nab.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx>. This public notice has been prepared in accordance with Corps implementing regulations at 33 CFR 325.3. If you have any questions concerning this specific project, or would like to request a paper copy of this public notice, please contact Mr. Richard Kibby at 410-962-0694 or e-mail: richard.kibby@usace.army.mil. This public notice is issued by the Chief, Regulatory Branch.