# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 04 Jun 2015
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE: Baltimore District FILE NAME: OAKDALE INVESTMENTS, LLC/EAGLEHEAD DRIVE/BRIDGE FILE NUMBER: 2012-61478
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: NOTE: WATERS A (LINGANORE CREEK) & WETLAND 1 State: Maryland County/parish/borough: Frederick City: Frederick
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.41646° N, Long77.32699° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 4365587.680 Northing (Y), 299703.197 Easting (X)
	Name of nearest waterbody: <b>Linganore Creek</b> Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: <b>Monocacy River</b> Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): <b>02070009070</b>
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: November 20, 2014 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): December 18, 2012
SE A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere <b>Are no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):   TNIV- in the line to mitorial area.
	TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting Kt ws that now directly of indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

### b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: Waters A: ~69,390 SF (1.593 acres)

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Wetlands: Wetland 1 (palustrine emergent): ~112 SF (0.003 acres)

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual and the Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Regional Supplement.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

		Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SE	CTIC	ON III: CWA ANALYSIS
A.	TN	Ws AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
	Sec	e agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete tion III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and a Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.
	1.	TNW Identify TNW:
		Summarize rationale supporting determination:
	2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":
В.	СН	ARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
		is section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps ermine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under <i>Rapanos</i> have been met.
	wat moz (pez	e agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent ters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 nths). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round rennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, p to Section III.D.4.
		vetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and A regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a

A relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: Average annual snowfall: (ii) Physical Characteristics: Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW. river miles from TNW. Project waters are Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :
	Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Average depth: Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: <b>Pick List</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: <b>Pick List</b> Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
	Describe flow regime:
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:  If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by: sirvey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics didal gauges other (list):  other (list):  wegetation the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

El-----

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		E	cterize tributary (e.g., wat Explain: fy specific pollutants, if kı		y film; water quality; general	l watershed characteristics, etc.).
	(iv)	F	tiparian corridor. Charact Vetland fringe. Character Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed specie. ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Expl	s. Explain findings: ain findings: sensitive species. Explain find		
2.	Cha	aracter	istics of wetlands adjace	nt to non-TNW that flow dire	ectly or indirectly into TNW	V
	(i)	(a) <u>C</u>	cal Characteristics: General Wetland Character Properties: Wetland size: Wetland type. Explain Wetland quality. Expla Project wetlands cross or s	:	in:	
			General Flow Relationship Flow is: Explain:	with Non-TNW:		
		S	Surface flow is: Characteristics:			
		S	Subsurface flow: : Pick L  Dye (or other) test p			
			Wetland Adjacency Determ Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland h Ecological connect Separated by berm	ydrologic connection. Explain ion. Explain:		
		F F F	Project waters are aerial Flow is from:	o TNW er miles from TNW. (straight) miles from TNW.	floodplain.	
	(ii)	Chara	nical Characteristics: cterize wetland system (e. cteristics; etc.). Explain:	g., water color is clear, brown,	oil film on surface; water qu	ality; general watershed
		Identi	fy specific pollutants, if ki	nown:		
	(iii)	F	tiparian buffer. Character /egetation type/percent co Habitat for: Federally Listed specie. Fish/spawn areas. Expl	s. Explain findings: ain findings: sensitive species. Explain find		
3.	Cha	All we	etland(s) being considered	in the cumulative analysis: total are being considered in the llowing:	ne cumulative analysis.	
		Ξ	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain 1. findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

#### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The USGS map and the 1960 Frederick County Soil Survey both indicate that this tributary is perennial. The tributary was also observed to be flowing during all site visits in various seasons.  ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  ☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  ☐ Waters A (lacustrine portion): ~286 linear feet length x ~140 linear feet width = ~34,636 SF (0.795 acres)  ☐ Waters A (riverine portion): ~382 linear feet length x ~91 linear feet width = ~34,754 SF (0.798 acres)  ☐ Waters A (total): ~668 linear feet/~104 linear feet average width/~69,390 SF (1.593 acres)  ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.  ☐ Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

5

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3

	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided in Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters:  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ✓ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  ✓ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The top of bank for Waters A is the waterward limit of Wetland 1.
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland 1 (palustrine emergent): 0.003 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SU C	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.

# $\textbf{F.} \quad \textbf{NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):} \\$

E.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the
_	"Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
H	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
fac	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR tors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	Wetlands: acres.
	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):
H	Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	Wetlands: acres.
SECTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):
and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
$\boxtimes$	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Plan, prepared by Geo
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
_	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993) USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960 National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993) USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960 National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): GTA Site Photographs, December 2011 and June/July 2012.
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): GTA Site Photographs, December 2011 and June/July 2012.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION  REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 04 Jun 2015
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE: Baltimore District FILE NAME: OAKDALE INVESTMENTS, LLC/EAGLEHEAD DRIVE/BRIDGE FILE NUMBER: 2012-61478
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  NOTE: WATERS B  State: Maryland County/parish/borough: Frederick City: Frederick  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.41646° N, Long77.32699° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: 4365587.680 Northing (Y), 299703.197 Easting (X)  Name of nearest waterbody: Linganore Creek  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Monocacy River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 02070009070  ☐ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: November 20, 2014 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): December 18, 2012
SE A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere <b>Are no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs ☐ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: Waters B: ~1,200 SF (0.028 acres)

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Wetlands: N/A

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual and the Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Regional Supplement.

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

		Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SE	CTIC	ON III: CWA ANALYSIS
A.	TN	Ws AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
	Sec	e agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete tion III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.
	1.	TNW Identify TNW:
		Summarize rationale supporting determination:
	2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":
В.	СН	ARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
		s section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps ermine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under <i>Rapanos</i> have been met.
	wat mor (per	e agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent ters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 nths). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round rennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, to Section III.D.4.
		vetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and A regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a

A relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions:
	Watershed size:
	Drainage area:
	Average annual rainfall:
	Average annual snowfall:
(ii)	Physical Characteristics:
	(a) Relationship with TNW:
	☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from RPW.
	Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	1

В.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :
	Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Average depth: Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: <b>Pick List</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: <b>Pick List</b> Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
	Describe flow regime:
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation  changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation  shelving the presence of wrack line  vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting  leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour  sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events  water staining abrupt change in plant community  other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:  If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	High Tide Line indicated by:  oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):  Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	racteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
		Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Explain:
		Surface flow is: Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: : Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are river miles from TNW. Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the floodplain.
		Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:
		Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.		racteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)  All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:  Approximately ( +/-) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.  For each wetland, specify the following:
		Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The USGS map and the 1960 Frederick County Soil Survey both indicate that this tributary is perennial. The tributary was also observed to be flowing during all site visits in various seasons.  ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  ☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  ☐ Waters A (riverine): ~146 linear feet length x ~8.2 linear feet width = ~1,200 SF (0.028 acres)  ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
3.	Identify type(s) of waters:  Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

8See Footnote # 3.

5

	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided in Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters:  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.

# $\textbf{F.} \quad \textbf{NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):} \\$

E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	<ul> <li>If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.</li> <li>Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.</li> <li>□ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).</li> </ul>
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ctors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional dgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):
	Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SEC	ION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. S	PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	nd requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Plan, prepared by Geo
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Plan, prepared by Geo Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  □ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  □ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. □ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. □ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  ☐ Corps navigable waters' study:  ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  ☐ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  ☐ Corps navigable waters' study:  ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  ☐ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. ☐ USGA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960 ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) ☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: ☐ Corps navigable waters' study: ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. ☐ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993) ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960 ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net) ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) ☐ Photographs: ☑ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): GTA Site Photographs, December 2011 and June/July 2012.
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS S and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): GTA Site Photographs, December 2011 and June/July 2012.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:
	Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): GTA Site Photographs, December 2011 and June/July 2012.

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION** A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 04 Jun 2015 **DISTRICT OFFICE: Baltimore District** FILE NAME: OAKDALE INVESTMENTS, LLC/EAGLEHEAD DRIVE/BRIDGE **FILE NUMBER: 2012-61478** C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: NOTE: WETLANDS 2 & 3 State: Maryland County/parish/borough: Frederick City: Frederick Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.41646° N, Long. -77.32699° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 4365587.680 Northing (Y), 299703.197 Easting (X) Name of nearest waterbody: Linganore Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Monocacy River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 02070009070 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: November 20, 2014 Field Determination. Date(s): **December 18, 2012 SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

#### b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Non-wetland waters: N/A

Wetlands: Wetland 2 (palustrine forested): ~6,596 SF (0.151 acres)
Wetland 3 (palustrine forested): ~1,526 SF (0.035 acres)

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Note: 1987 Delineation Manual with Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Supplement and waters delineation using 328.3E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

2.	Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup>
	Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional
	Explain:

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1, only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody 4 is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

	Watershed size:
	Drainage area:
	Average annual rainfall:
	Average annual snowfall:
	Average aimuai showian.
(22)	Dharical Characteristics
(ii)	Physical Characteristics:
	(a) Relationship with TNW:
	☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from RPW.
	Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
	, , ,
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(i) General Area Conditions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :
	Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width:  Average depth:  Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: <b>Pick List</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
	Describe flow regime:
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:

5 -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

(	(iii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  Explain:
		Identify specific pollutants, if known:
(	(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	racteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(	<b>(i)</b>	Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics:  Properties:  Wetland size: Wetland 2 (palustrine forested): ~6,596 SF (0.151 acres)  Wetland 3 (palustrine forested): ~1,526 SF (0.035 acres)  Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine forested temporarily flooded (Wetlands 2 & 3).  Wetland quality. Explain: Wetlands 2 & 3 are of moderate quality, providing wildlife habitat among other functions.
boun	dar	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: <b>No, project wetlands do not cross or serve as state</b> ies.
hial	. ei	(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow. Explain: Wetlands 2 & 3 are hydrologically connected during high volume storm events over to Lincopper Creek and events like to the Managery Piver.
WIIICI	11 110	ow to Linganore Creek and eventually to the Monocacy River.
		Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics: Wetlands 2 & 3 are connected by overland sheetflow.
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. : Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting
& 3 c	ont	☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Overflow and groundwater throughflow from Wetlands 2 ribute to the RPW (Linganore Creek). ☐ Ecological connection. Explain:
		Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:  (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW   Project wetlands are ~100 & ~225 LF from Linganore Creek and 2-5 river miles from TNW.   Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.   Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.   Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
(	(ii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Wetlands 2 & 3 pond water during rain events.  Identify specific pollutants, if known: None
	(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Wetlands 2 & 3 are forested with a sparse/disturbed herbaceous layer.  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Potential for vernal pool breeding amphibians.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Approximately  $\sim$ 0.19 acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u>

Wetland 2 (N) ~6,596 SF (0.151 acres)
Wetland 3 (N) ~1,526 SF (0.035 acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetlands 2 & 3 likely serve as vernal pool habitat. This wetland provides floodflow alteration and wildlife habitat for vernal pool species and may provide shelter for birds and other small animals. It is eventually connected by overland sheetflow during high volume rain events to Linganore Creek. The wetlands are situated within the 100-year floodplain and have the ability to capture flood waters from Linganore Creek. It is likely that the wetlands support some nutrient cycling and provide some limited nutrient filtering. Although, no evidence of erosion appears to exist, there is a potential for the wetlands to trap sediment from overland sheetflow and buffer the receiving tributary. The wetlands primarily convey drainage to the receiving tributary during the wet season when the water table is seasonally high (winter and early spring). During the wet season, water temperatures would likely be cool. During the dry season when water temperatures might be expected to be warmer, the receiving tributary likely receives drainage from the wetlands in the form of infrequent, brief flushes during significant storm events. The wetlands appear to be only seasonally inundated and are surrounded by a land use that would diminish the potential for aquatic ecosystems. Aquatic life was not observed during field visits. During the wet season, water backs up in the wetlands until it reaches an elevation sufficient to drain into the perennial stream channel. This condition does not represent the natural, beneficial storage capacity of a riparian floodplain. No use of out-of-state commerce associated with the wetlands or Linganore Creek have been known or documented. The wetlands do not support navigation or recreational use by the general public, such as boating, swimming, fishing, or other such forms of recreation. There is no knowledge of the wetlands having an affect on the overall health of the surrounding community. The wetlands likely provide some groundwater recharge functions.

## THAT APPLY): **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres Identify type(s) of waters: Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided in Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: ~0.19 acres. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup> As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10  which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SE(	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. (	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Plan, prepared by Geo Technology Associates, Inc., revised December 28, 2012  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Walkersville, Maryland (1953, photo revised in 1993)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, 2012, and USDA Soil Survey of Frederick County, 1960  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: United States Fish and Wildlife Service wetland map obtained from MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)  State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Maryland Department of Natural Resources wetland map obtained from MERLIN
	Online (http://mdmerlin.net)

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA  $\it Memorandum~Regarding~CWA~Act~Jurisdiction~Following~Rapanos$ .

$\bowtie$	FEMA/FIRM maps: Frederick County, Maryland, Map Number 24021C0315D
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
$\boxtimes$	Photographs: 🛛 Aerial (Name & Date): 2007-08 Aerial Photograph and 1988-94 Aerial Photograph, obtained from
	MERLIN Online (http://mdmerlin.net)
	or 🖂 Other (Name & Date): GTA Site Photographs, December 2011 and June/July 2012.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):

### B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: