APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): January 31, 2014
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CENAB-OP-RMS (Passen, Selvin) 2013-61569
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Maryland County/parish/borough: Queen Anne's City: Stevensville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Latitude Longitude 39.029167, 76.314444
	Name of nearest waterbody: Chesapeake Bay Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Chesapeake Bay Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):02060001 (Upper Chesapeake Bay Watershed) Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 25 November 2013 Field Determination. Date(s)
SEC	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. I	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re are "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: the Chesapeake Bay, a navigable waterway.
в. (CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	 Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):
	TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	 b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: The size of the project site area open waters is approximately 2500 square of tidal waters. The project impact area is indicated below. Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or 2500 square foot area. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Approximate MHW shoreline. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):²

¹ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

² Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be no
jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aguatic resource is a TNW. complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

Identify TNW: Chesapeake River.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: The Chesapeake Bay is a tidal navigable waterway.

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody³ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: **Pick List** Drainage area: **Pick List** Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

³ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

			Identify flow route to TNW ⁴ : Tributary stream order, if known:
		(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is:
			Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
			Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
			Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
		(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
			Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
			Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁵ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
annly).			If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that
apply):			 ☐ High Tide Line indicated by: ☐ oil or scum line along shore objects ☐ survey to available datum; ☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) ☐ physical markings; ☐ physical markings; ☐ wegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. ☐ tidal gauges ☐ other (list):
	(iii)		emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

⁴ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁵A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁶Ibid.

	Identify specific pollutants, if known:						
	(iv)		Riparian corridor. Chara Wetland fringe. Characte Habitat for: Federally Listed spec Fish/spawn areas. Ex Other environmentally Aquatic/wildlife divers	cteristics (type, average eristics: les. Explain findings: plain findings: y-sensitive species. Ex	e width):		
2.	Cha	arac	teristics of wetlands adja	cent to non-TNW tha	t flow directly or indirectly in	to TNW	
	(i)		ysical Characteristics: General Wetland Charac Properties: Wetland size: a Wetland type. Explai Wetland quality. Expl Project wetlands cross of	cres n: ain:	aries. Explain:		
		(b)	General Flow Relationsh Flow is: Pick List . Explai	eral Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:			
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:				
			Subsurface flow: Pick Li Dye (or other) test				
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Dete Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland Ecological connect Separated by ben	hydrologic connection. ction. Explain:			
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.					
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; go characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:					ater quality; general watershed		
	(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:						
3.	All wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:					sis.	
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream food webs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: The size of the project site						
area open waters is indicated below.						
1. <u> </u>						
☑ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or 2,500 square foot area.☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.						
 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale 						

indicating that tributary is percritial.
Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that
tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictio	nal waters in the review area (check all that app	ly):
☐ Tributary waters:	linear feet width (ft).	

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

indicating that tributary is perennial.

3.	Non-RPWs ⁷ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁸ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
WA 	CLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH STERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
lde	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Pro	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

E.

 ⁷See Footnote # 3.
 ⁸ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 ⁹ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Co Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated to solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):						
s (i.e., presence of a ssional judgment (d waters (i.e., river ds: acres.	migratory birds, pecheck all that aps, streams):	oresence of enda ply): linear feet	ngered species, use of water for irrigate width (ft).			
nding is required fo d waters (i.e., river ls: acres.	r jurisdiction (che s, streams):	eck all that apply): linear feet,	width (ft).	lexus" standard,		
SOURCES.						
and requested, applys, plots or plat subron on September 23 is prepared/submitted oncurs with data shoes not concur with sprepared by the Cogable waters' study gical Survey Hydro HHD data. If and 12 digit HUC gical Survey map(soural Resources Coretlands inventory modplain Elevation in section of Comparisments o	propriately referented by or on b, 2013. ed by or on behaves delineation data sheets/delineation data sheets/delicorps: logic Atlas: maps. l). Cite scale & questration Service ap(s). Cite nammap(s): liber is: (Nation & Date): Google & Date): Google & Date): Google & Date of the control of the contro	ence sources beloehalf of the applicant report. ineation report. uad name: e Soil Survey. Cite: al Geodectic Verte Earth satellite in provided by the a	w): cant/consultant: Plans submitted by Phill /consultant. ation: ical Datum of 1929) nagery			
	wetlands were assimilated by the Jan 2001 Support the "Migratory Broot meet the "Signification of the "Migratory Broot meet the "Signification, if not covered the estimates for nones (i.e., presence of the signification	wetlands were assessed within the Wetland Delineation Manual and/or a included isolated waters with no so the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decion the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). not meet the "Significant Nexus" stablain, if not covered above): e estimates for non-jurisdictional was (i.e., presence of migratory birds, pessional judgment (check all that apid waters (i.e., rivers, streams): des: acres. wetland waters: acres. List typacres. e estimates for non-jurisdictional wanding is required for jurisdiction (check dwaters (i.e., rivers, streams): des: acres. wetland waters: acres. List typacres. A SOURCES. DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check and requested, appropriately references, plots or plat submitted by or on belast oncurs with data sheets/delineation loes not concur with	wetlands were assessed within the review area, thes Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regista included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCO on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a suc	wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1 Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. In included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. In the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been reg on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). In the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Elain, if not covered above): e estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of js (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigate sasional judgment (check all that apply): and waters (i.e., rivers, streams): in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Notalization acres. e estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Notalization (check all that apply): and waters (i.e., rivers, streams): in earlies acres. e estimates for non-jurisdiction (check all that apply: and waters (i.e., rivers, streams): in earlies feet, width (ft). is: acres. wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: acres. A SOURCES. DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in cas and requested, appropriately reference sources below): s, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Plans submitted by Phill on September 23, 2013. s prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. oncurs with data sheets/delineation report. loss not concur with data sheets/delineation report. space and the data sheets/delineation report. sprepared by the Corps: gable waters' study: gical Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WHD data. 3 and 12 digit HUC maps. gical Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: ural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: eleands inventory map(s). Maps: Panel number loodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertica		

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: