APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 11, 2016

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Baltimore / Harrington, Philip J. / Aquaculture Lease #225 / Shell on Bottom / 2015-00780-M21

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Maryland County/parish/borough: Dorchester City: Fishing Bay WMA Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):

Corner	Latitude	Longitude	
1	38° 16' 17.6" N	75° 55' 50.0" W	
2	38° 16′ 22.4″ N	75° 56' 13.5" W	
3	38° 16' 40.0" N	75° 56' 07.6" W	
4	38° 16′ 36.0″ N	75° 55' 51.3" W	

Name of nearest waterbody: The Nanticoke River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: The Nanticoke River is a TNW. Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Nanticoke Watershed -- 02060008

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon requ	or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or	\boxtimes
--	--	--	-------------

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: August 7, 2015

Field Determination. Date(s)

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: The Nanticoke River is a traditionally navigable waterway and a tributary to the Chesapeake Bay, which is a tidal interstate navigable water with commercial and recreational boat use.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):

TNWs, including territorial seas
Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
Relatively permanent waters ¹ (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

¹ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: The size of the project site area open waters is approximately 68.3 acres. The project impact area is indicated below.

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or 68.3 acres.

Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Approximate MHW shoreline/high tide line.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): . .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):²

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: The Nanticoke River is a tributary to the Chesapeake Bay.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: The Nanticoke River is a tidal navigable waterway.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

² Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

³ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

(a)	Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁴ : Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁵ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁶ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

⁴ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
⁵A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
⁶Ibid.

			oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;
			fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
			physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
			☐ tidal gauges
			other (list):
	(iii)		emical Characteristics:
		Cha	aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
			Explain: .
		Idei	ntify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iv)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
		\mathbb{H}	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
		H	Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
		Ш	Habitat for:
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/whitine diversity. Explain initializes.
2.	Chs	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
۵.	CII	ıı acı	crisics of wedands adjacent to non-rive that now directly of indirectly into river
	(i)	Phy	vsical Characteristics:
	(-)		General Wetland Characteristics:
		()	Properties:
			Wetland size: acres
			Wetland type. Explain: .
			Wetland quality. Explain: .
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
			Flow is: Pick List . Explain: .
			Surface flow is: Pick List
			Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings:
			☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .
		(a)	Watland Adisconary Datamination with Non-TNW
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting
			☐ Not directly abutting
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
			Ecological connection. Explain:
			Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
			_ sopulated by contract Emplants
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
			Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
			Flow is from: Pick List.
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)		emical Characteristics:
		Cha	aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
		T 1	characteristics; etc.). Explain:
		Idei	ntify specific pollutants, if known:
	(<u></u>	\ D • · ·	logical Characteristics Wetland supports (sheet all that arrely)
	(111)) B10	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
		H	Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
		\vdash	Habitat for:
		Ш	Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity Explain findings:

	3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adja All wetland(s) being considered Approximately () acres i For each wetland, specify the fo	in the cumulative anal n total are being consider		
		Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
		Summariza avarall higlari	ool, shamical and physic	ical functions being performed	
		Summarize overall blologi	cai, chemicai and physi	ical functions being performed:	•
C.	SIG	SNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINA	TION		
	by a of a wet Cor of wet trib outs	ignificant nexus analysis will assess to any wetlands adjacent to the tributant. TNW. For each of the following sittlands, has more than a speculative of a siderations when evaluating significater in the tributary and its proximal lands. It is not appropriate to determine the following and its adjacent wetland or be side of a floodplain is not solely determined to the features are connections between the features connections are connected to the features connec	ry to determine if they mations, a significant or insubstantial effect or insu	y significantly affect the chemical, nexus exists if the tributary, in con on the chemical, physical and/or bit are not limited to the volume, due functions performed by the tributs based solely on any specific thres d the TNW). Similarly, the fact and the tributs of the tributs of the tributs of the tributs and the tributs of the tri	physical, and biological integrity abination with all of its adjacent iological integrity of a TNW. ration, and frequency of the flow tary and all its adjacent hold of distance (e.g. between a adjacent wetland lies within or a the Rapanos Guidance and pollutants or flood waters to the support functions for fish and a the TNW?
	•	support downstream foodwebs? Does the tributary, in combination w biological integrity of the TNW?	ith its adjacent wetland	s (if any), have other relationships to	the physical, chemical, or
	Not belo	e: the above list of considerations is ow:	not inclusive and other	er functions observed or known to	occur should be documented
	1.	Significant nexus findings for non- findings of presence or absence of significant nexus findings for non-			
	2.	Significant nexus findings for non-TNWs. Explain findings of presence adjacent wetlands, then go to Section	e or absence of signification		
	3.	Significant nexus findings for wetlar presence or absence of significant ne Section III.D:			
D.		TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICT AT APPLY):	IONAL FINDINGS. T	THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETL	ANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
		nd Adjacent Wetlands. Check all the sindicated below.	at apply and provide siz	ze estimates in review area: The size	e of the project site area open
	1.	☑ TNWs: linear feet wid☑ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acre	dth (ft), Or, 68.3 acres		
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirec Tributaries of TNWs where tribu		ear-round are jurisdictional. Provide o	data and rationale indicating that

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows

tributary is perennial:

seasonally:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁷ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly
	abutting an RPW: Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁸ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SU	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):9 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:

E.

 ⁷See Footnote # 3.
 ⁸ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 ⁹ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
	□ Lakes/ponds: acres. □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: □ Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A .	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Plans submitted by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources dated April 2015. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): MDDNR Wetland Inventory. FEMA/FIRM maps: Panel number 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Baltimore District GIS data / Dorchester 2007 or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): VIMS SAV Survey Data

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: