APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	<u>CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION</u> REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 24, 2015
B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Baltimore/DCDPWD Salt Storage Facility/2015-01053
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Washington, District of Columbia County/parish/borough: Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): City: Washington, District of Columbia
	Name of nearest waterbody: Hickey Run Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: The Hickey Run is a tributary of the Anacostia River, a TNW. Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 02070010 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: October 21, 2015 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s)
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re are not "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re are not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	 Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):
	TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters¹ (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	 Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):² Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: A 0.04-acre wetland area currently exists in a wetland area on the subject property. The wetland is a

palustrine emergent wetland that has developed in a stormwater management retention pond that was constructed

¹ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

² Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

entirely in uplands, based on this information that wetlands identified on the property are not regulated by the Corps since they are part of a stormwater management facility created in uplands that is not located in-line within a regulated waterway.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody³ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions:

	Wat	tershed size:	Pick List	
	Dra	inage area:	Pick List	
	Ave	erage annual rainfal	l: inches	
		erage annual snowfa		inches
ii)	Phy	sical Characterist	ics:	
	(a)	Relationship with	TNW:	
		☐ Tributary flow	s directly	into TNW.
		Tributary flow	s through	Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are	Pick Lis	st river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are	Pick Lis	st river miles from RPW.
		Project waters are	Pick Lis	st aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

³ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

		Identify flow route to TNW ⁴ : Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
		Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings:
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁵ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁶ Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii)		emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

⁴ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
⁵A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
⁶Ibid.

	(iv)		Riparian corridor. Character Wetland fringe. Character Habitat for: Federally Listed species Fish/spawn areas. Expla Other environmentally- Aquatic/wildlife diversi	eristics (type, average w stics: . s. Explain findings: ain findings: . sensitive species. Expla	idth): .	
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjace	nt to non-TNW that flo	w directly or indirectly into TNV	V
	(i)		rsical Characteristics: General Wetland Character Properties: Wetland size: acrowetland type. Explain Wetland quality. Explain Project wetlands cross or see	es : . in: .	Explain: .	
		(b)	General Flow Relationship Flow is: Pick List . Explain			
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: .			
			Subsurface flow: Pick List Dye (or other) test p			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determ Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland by Ecological connect Separated by bermy	ydrologic connection. E ion. Explain: .		
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to Project wetlands are Pick I Project waters are Pick List. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate locat	List river miles from TN st aerial (straight) miles	from TNW.	
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: tracterize wetland system (e., characteristics; etc.). Expla tify specific pollutants, if kr	nin: .	rown, oil film on surface; water qu	uality; general watershed
	(iii)		Riparian buffer. Character Vegetation type/percent co Habitat for: Federally Listed species Fish/spawn areas. Expla Other environmentally- Aquatic/wildlife diversi	istics (type, average wid ver. Explain: s. Explain findings: ain findings: sensitive species. Expla	th):	
3.	Cha	All App	wetland(s) being considered broximately () acres i each wetland, specify the fo	in the cumulative analyst n total are being consider		
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs at	nd Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Under non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁷ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

⁷See Footnote # 3.

	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly
	abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁸ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC 	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):9 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .

E.

⁸ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
⁹ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Ш	Wetlands:	acres.						
F.		If potential w Wetland Deli Review area i Prior to "Migrate Waters do no Other: (explai	etlands were ass neation Manual ncluded isolated the Jan 2001 Sup ory Bird Rule" (at meet the "Sign n, if not covered	essed within the and/or appropriat l waters with no s preme Court decis MBR). ificant Nexus" sta	review area, these Regional Suppubstantial nexussion in "SWANC andard, where suds were identif	se areas did no blements. to interstate ('C'," the review ich a finding i	(or foreign) common warea would have also required for juris	in the 1987 Corps of erce. been regulated base	d <u>solely</u> on the
	fact	ors (i.e., preser gment (check a	ice of migratory Il that apply): waters (i.e., rive acres.	birds, presence o		width (ft).		basis of jurisdiction i agriculture), using b	
		nding is require Non-wetland Lakes/ponds:	d for jurisdictio waters (i.e., rive acres.	n (check all that a		width (ft).	_	nificant Nexus" stand	lard, where such
	H	Wetlands:	tland waters: acres.	acres. List ty	pe of aquatic re	source:			
<u>SEC</u>			acres.	acres. List ty	pe of aquatic re	source:			
	SUP and	PORTING DATA PORTING DATA requested, app Maps, plans, Data sheets p tures, LLC dat Office cor Office doe Data sheets p Corps navigal U.S. Geologie USGS NE USGS 8 a U.S. Geologie	acres. SOURCES. ATA. Data reviropriately refere plots or plat subrepared/submitted June 15, 201 acurs with data ses not concur wirepared by the Cole waters' study all Survey Hydra data. Ind 12 digit HUC cal Survey map(ewed for JD (che ence sources below mitted by or on be ed by or on behalf 5 cheets/delineation th data sheets/del corps:	eck all that app w): ehalf of the applican report. ineation report	ly - checked i icant/consulta t/consultant.	ant: Wetland Delineati	nded in case file and, on Report provided l	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: