# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Α.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	(JD	): March 17	, 2023

		(b), maion 11, 2020
В.	PROJ Spring Dale, I State: Center Name Name	RICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: NAB-2022-00327 (Stewart Property AJD Request)  ECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The 11.92-acres subject property is located fronting east of grield Road, approximately 300 feet south of its intersection with Lake Glen Drive at 8215 Springfield Road, Glenn Prince George's County, Maryland.  Maryland County/parish/borough: Prince George's County City: Glenn Dale recoordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.003025, Long76.804384  of nearest waterbody: Newstop Branch of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Patuxent River of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 020600060401 (12-digit HUC) / Horsepen Branch — Patuxent River heck if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. heck if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded in a different JD form.
D.		EW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 21, 2022  office Determination. Date(s): September 23, 2022
		II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
Α.	RHA S	ECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	in the W	not "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part review area. [Required] //aters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. //aters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or breign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA S	SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere <b>are</b> '	"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
		Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):   TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b.	Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
		Non-wetland waters: 382.62 linear feet
		Wetlands: 747.19 square feet
	c.	Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual and the Atlantic & Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement to '87 Manual.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

## Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional. Explain:

## **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

# **General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size: 30,998.69 acres Drainage area: 166.4 acres Average annual rainfall: 44.5 inches

Average annual snowfall:

## (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

TNW.

Project waters are 20-25 river miles from

TNW. Project waters are 2-5 river miles from

RPW.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 or less aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Waters on site flow generally in an eastern direction into Newstop Branch, a RPW, which flows into Horsepen Branch, which flows into the Patuxent River. The Patuxent River flows south until it becomes subject to the ebb and flow of tide, and becomes a TNW near its confluence with the Chesapeake Bay, a TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: unknown

(b)	<u>Ger</u>	neral Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:
		<b>Tributary</b> properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 5 feet  Average depth: 1 foot  Average side slopes: 3:1
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Cother. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Tributary geometry: Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Intermittent but not seasonal flow
		Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)
		Describe flow regime:
		Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow:
		☐ Dye (or other) test performed: N/A.
		Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain: N/A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that
apply):		High Tide Line indicated by:  oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list):
	(iii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water color clear. Accordioning to the USGS Stream Stats Webpage, approximately 46.8% of the drainage area is covered by forest, 19.9% is impervious surface, and 61.7% is developed (urban) land according to the NLCD 2011 classes 21-24.  Identify specific pollutants, if known: unknown.
	(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  ☐ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The areas directly abutting the intermittent streams are generally surrounded by forest, providing a riparian corridor for wildlife.  ☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  ☐ Habitat for:  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: According to the USFWS IPAC Webpage, Northern Longeared Bat is listed as potentially affected by activities at the property location.  ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The area is identified in the Maryland Amphibian and Reptile  Atlas and has been identified as a Targeted Ecological Area. Targeted Ecological Areas are lands and watersheds of high ecological value that have been identified as conservation priorities by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources for natural resource protection.
2.	Cha	racteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 747.19 square feet Wetland type. PFO Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent Explain: Wetland flows at least seasonally in a northern direction into an unnamed tributary to Newstop Branch. The unnamed tributary exhibits intermittent flow, and flows into Newstop Branch, Horsepen Branch, and into the Patuxent River. The Patuxent River flows south, where it then becomes subject to the ebb and flow of tide and becomes a TNW. Surface flow is: Discrete and confined
		Characteristics: Wetland flows at least seasonally in a northern direction into an unnamed tributary to Newstop Branch. The unnamed tributary exhibits intermittent flow, and flows into Newstop Branch, Horsepen Branch, and into the Patuxent River. The Patuxent River flows south, where it then becomes subject to the ebb and flow of tide and becomes a TNW  Subsurface flow: unknown Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 20-25 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100-500 year floodplain.

### (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Accordioning to the USGS Stream Stats Webpage, approximately 46.8% of the drainage area is covered by forest, 19.9% is impervious surface, and 61.7% is developed (urban) land according to the NLCD 2011 classes 21-24.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: unknown

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that app	(i	iii) Biologica	Characteristics.	Wetland supports	(check all that app	ılر	/)
--	----	----------------	------------------	------------------	---------------------	-----	----

Disperion buffer. Characteristics (type, suggested width). The wetland area directly shutting the intermittent
Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): The wetland area directly abutting the intermittent
stream is forested, providing a riparian corridor for wildlife.
□ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: The wetland area contained Nyssa sylvatica, Viburnum dentatum,
Clethra alnifolia, Microstegium vimineum, and others.
Habitat for: Federally Listed species.
Explain findings: According to the USFWS IPAC webpage, Northern Long-eared Bat is listed as
potentially affected by activities at the property location.
☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
☑Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The area is identified in the Maryland Amphibian and Reptile Atlas
and has been identified as a Targeted Ecological Area. Targeted Ecological Areas are lands and
watersheds of high ecological value that have been identified as conservation priorities by the Maryland
Department of Natural Resources for natural resource protection

## 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1

Approximately 0.017 acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> Wetland (Y) 0.017 acres

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Refer to Section IV.B.

# C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT
	APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs:  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Data supporting this conclusion are provided at Section III.B. Newstop Branch and the unnamed tributary to Newstop Branch flow at least seasonally. The Corps observed water in Newstop Branch and the unnamed tributary to Newstop Branch during the September 23, 2022 site visit. The Corps also observed clear bed and bank, lack of vegetation within the channel, presence of leaf litter, and evidence leaf litter has been disturbed or washed away within Newstop Branch and the unnamed tributary to Newstop Branch.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 382.62 linear feet Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters:  Other non-wetland waters:  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above.  Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: During the September 23, 2022 site visit, the Corps observed that the wetland directly abuts or touches the unnamed tributary to Newstop Branch.  Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 747.19 square feet
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	WA	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH TERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	lde	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: Other non-wetland waters: Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands:
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.  Explain:
		Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	the	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), no best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):  Lakes/ponds:  Other non-wetland waters:  List type of aquatic resource:
		Wetlands:  vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, ere such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):  Lakes/ponds:
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands:

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

### **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

٩.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and,
	where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Report prepared
	by Bay Environmental, Inc. dated March 31, 2022.
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	☑ USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Glenn Dale, MD Quad
	☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey (2017)
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI Wetland Mapper − 8215 Springfield Road, Glenn Dale, MD
	20769
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): State of Maryland, MERLIN Webpage, State of Maryland Water Resources
	Registry Webpage
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro images year 2022 or Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s): File no, and date of response letter:
	Trovide determination(e). The field and date of responde follows.
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify): Nicole Nasteff of the Baltimore District conducted a site visit on September 23, 2022
	to verify limits of the identified aquatic resources.

# **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

## References:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-20. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Environmental Laboratory. (1987). "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual," Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Cowardin, Lewis M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E. T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service - Biological Services Program. FWS/OBS-79/31.

Reed, P.B., Jr. 1988. *National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands: 1988 National Summary*. Biological Report 88(24), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C.