



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, [BALTIMORE] DISTRICT
2 HOPKINS PLAZA
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201-2930

CENAB-OPR-S

June 3, 2024

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023),¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.² AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.³

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule"). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming", which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ("*Sackett*").

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁴ the 2023 Rule as amended, as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

¹ While the Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States"; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² 33 CFR 331.2.

³ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁴ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

CENAB-OPR-S

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023),¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).
 - i. Wetland 1 – non jurisdictional

2. REFERENCES.

- a. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”)
- b. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR XXXX (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. __, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. Bay Environmental Request Letter
- e. 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual
- f. Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement
- g. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils of the United States
- h. 2020 National Wetland Plant List

3. REVIEW AREA. The review area includes an existing limit of disturbance (LOD) for a proposed development permit (cross hatched and dotted areas on the attached site plan, Figure 1). The site is generally located north of the end of Muirfield Drive in Chestertown, Kent County, MD. The areas together comprise a total area of approximately 0.554 acres and the center coordinates of the review area are approximately **39.186874, -76.087985**. This determination was based primarily on site observations and informed by file documentation.

CENAB-OPR-S

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. The Chester River is the closest TNW located east/northeast of the site. The Chester River is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. No flow was observed from the subject waters to a TNW, there is no connection from Wetland 1 to a TNW.
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁵: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁶ [N/A]
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of “waters of the United States” in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
 - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): [N/A]
 - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): [N/A]

⁵ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as “navigable in law” even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁶ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

CENAB-OPR-S

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023),¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).

- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): [N/A]
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): [N/A]
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): [N/A]
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): [N/A]
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): [N/A]

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁷ [N/A]
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).
 - i. **Wetland 1** – This wetland is a small, seasonally saturated depression (<0.25 acres) surrounded by dry land. This wetland meets the standard 3 parameter approach required by the 1987 Manual and Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement but does not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional feature.

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.

- a. The most recent field inspection occurred May 21, 2024, and was the primary information used in this MFR combined with supporting information listed below.

⁷ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

CENAB-OPR-S

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. Despite a previous determination which has expired, this AJD is based primarily on current information, specifically, those observations by the Corps on May 21, 2024, and associated office determination.

- a. Google Earth Pro – full range of aerial photography
- b. Maryland Watershed Resource Registry – aerial photos, LiDAR, and numerous supporting layers (e.g., NWI, MD DNR wetland maps, Soil mapping, etc.).
- c. Digital Globe aerial photography
- d. Regulatory Reviewer – LiDAR, DEM
- e. May 21, 2024, field inspection by Jaclyn Kelleher (NAB), Noreen Davis (Davis and Associates Environmental Consulting, LLC), and Dave Haffner (MDE – non-tidal).
- f. Wetland delineation reports from July 27, 2023 and April 29, 2024 by Davis Environmental Consulting, LLC

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR’s structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

CENAB-OPR-S

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023),¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).




Figure 1. Enlargement of the Plan View provided by Davis Environmental Consulting, LLC illustrating the AOR which includes proposed areas of fill to be permitted permit areas (crosshatched and dotted).

CENAB-OPR-S

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023),¹ NAB-2024-00111-M3 (Muirfield Lot).



Figure 2. View of Wetland 1 located towards the center of the AOR. This wetland is seasonally saturated and driven mainly by precipitation but is non-jurisdictional as it does not have a continuous surface connection to a TNW.