# **1.0 Hazardous and Toxic Materials and Waste**

# 1.1 Introduction

This Technical Memorandum describes Hazardous and Toxic Materials and Waste (HTMW) in the Proposed Action's Region of Influence (ROI) and potential impacts from the Proposed Action (i.e., Preferred Alternative) and No Action Alternative. Measures to reduce potential adverse HTMW impacts from the Proposed Action are identified.

The term HTMW, or "hazardous substance," collectively refers to hazardous materials, hazardous wastes, and other contaminants that are managed under one or more applicable regulatory programs because they have been determined to present risks to human health, safety, or the environment when they occur above certain concentrations. The improper release of, or exposure to, HTMW may also threaten soils, water quality, and biological resources. Localized conditions (e.g., climate, topography, soils, and water resources) may affect the extent of contamination from, or exposure to, HTMW.

Treasury received comments related to HTMW from stakeholders during the public scoping period. Scoping comments expressed concern over the potential HTMW impacts of the Proposed Action, such as past contamination within the Project Site, the types of hazardous substances proposed for use during production operations; and the proposed handling, treatment, and disposal processes for these substances. Some comments reflected public concern over potential impacts from wastewater discharge and surface runoff from the Proposed Action, particularly downstream effects on Beaverdam Creek. The reader is referred to the <u>Utilities Technical Memorandum</u> and the <u>Water Resources Technical Memorandum</u> for information on wastewater disposal and stormwater runoff, respectively.

Please refer to Treasury's <u>Public Scoping Report</u> for further details on the comments received during the scoping period. Concerns expressed during public scoping regarding HTMW are considered and addressed in this analysis.

# 1.2 Affected Environment

# 1.2.1 Region of Influence

The ROI for this analysis includes the Project Site and areas in and adjacent to the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC) within 0.25 mile of the Project Site (see **Figure 1**). These are the areas that may have had prior uses that could have resulted in a material effect on the HTMW condition of the Project Site. Similarly, these are generally the same areas that could be affected, directly or indirectly, by activities associated with the Proposed Action. Operational activities that could have an indirect influence on HTMW outside of this ROI would be associated with the transportation of hazardous materials used for, or generated by, Currency Production Facility (CPF) manufacturing processes. However, these indirect HTMW impacts associated with the Proposed Action would not be appreciable beyond the ROI.

# 1.2.2 Applicable Guidance

**Table 1** identifies federal and state guidance and regulations relevant to this analysis. Treasury would comply with these guidelines and requirements under the Proposed Action.



# Figure 1: HTMW ROI

Guidance/Regulation	Description/Applicability to Proposed Action		
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (42 United States Code [USC] §6901 <i>et seq.</i> )	Establishes the mandatory procedures and requirements for compliance by federal facilities that use, accumulate, transport, treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste or substances. Under RCRA, the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) can grant authority to the state to establish and enforce its own hazardous waste management program, provided that the state's requirements are no less stringent than the USEPA's. In the State of Maryland, the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), implements the RCRA program.		
Maryland Disposal of Controlled Hazardous Substances (Code of Maryland Regulations [COMAR] 26-11)	Establishes responsibilities for implementing Maryland's program for the regulatory oversight of hazardous waste distributed among several units within the MDE's Land Management Administration. In particular, the Hazardous Waste Program within the MDE's Resource Management Program is responsible for the regulation of hazardous waste in Maryland (MDE, 2020).		
<u>Toxic Substances</u> <u>Control Act (TSCA)</u> (15 USC §2601 et seq.)	Regulates the use, management, and disposal of asbestos-containing materials (ACM), lead-based paint (LBP), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) that are often found in buildings constructed prior to the federal government banning them in 1978 (USEPA, 2020).		
Comprehensive Environmental <u>Response,</u> Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) (42 USC §6901 <i>et seq.</i> )	Commonly known as Superfund, this Act created a tax on the chemical and petroleum industries and provided broad federal authority to respond directly to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances that may endanger public health or the environment.		
USEPA Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste (40 CFR Section 261)	The USEPA identifies solid wastes that are subject to regulation as hazardous wastes. This chapter sets forth the USEPA's criteria to identify characteristics of hazardous waste and lists particular hazardous wastes.		
USEPA Designation, Reportable Quantities, and Notification of Hazardous Substances (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Section 302.4)	The USEPA designates hazardous substances under CERCLA, identifies reportable quantities for these substances, and establishes the notification requirements for releases of these substances. This regulation also determines reportable quantities for hazardous substances designated under the Clean Water Act.		
Department of Transportation (DOT) Hazardous Materials and Oil Transportation (49 CFR Section 100- 199)	The DOT establishes regulations for the safe and secure transportation of hazardous materials in commerce. These regulations apply to persons who transport hazardous materials in commerce, persons who cause hazardous materials to be transported in commerce, and persons who manufacture or maintain packaging or a component of a hazardous material.		

Table 1: HTMW Applicable Guid	dance and Regulations
-------------------------------	-----------------------

Guidance/Regulation	Description/Applicability to Proposed Action		
Occupational Safety			
and Health			
Administration (OSHA)	OSHA ensures that the hazards of all produced or imported chemicals are classified, and		
Standards for Toxic	employees consistent with the provisions of the United Nations Globally Harmonized		
and Hazardous	System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals. This standard is intended to address the proper classification of potential bazards of chemicals and the appropriate		
Substances (Hazard			
Communication)	communication of hazards and appropriate protective measures in the workplace.		
(29 CFR Section			
<u>1910.1200)</u>			
OSHA Standards for			
Toxic and Hazardous	OSHA ensures that employers properly manage potential asbestos exposure in all work,		
Substances	including, among other activities, construction, alteration, repair, maintenance, or		
(Asbestos)	renovation of structures that contain asbestos.		
(29 CFR 1926.1101)			
Nuclear Regulatory			
Commission (NRC)	The NRC establishes standards for protection against ionizing radiation. Such standards		
Standards for	control the receipt, possession, use, transfer, and disposal of licensed material by any		
Protection against	licensee in such a manner that the total dose to an individual does not exceed regulated		
<b>Radiation</b>	thresholds for protection against radiation.		
(10 CFR Part 20)			

# 1.2.3 Existing Conditions

Treasury commissioned Project Site investigations to characterize environmental conditions of the Project Site and identify HTMW resulting from past activities conducted in the ROI. An <u>Environmental Condition of Property (ECOP)</u> report identified specific Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs) within 0.25 mile of Treasury's proposed parcel, including Underground Storage Tanks (USTs), petroleum-related spills, ACMs, LBPs, PCBs, radioactive materials, chemical and biological hazards, rusted equipment, and disposal sites. **Table 2** provides the locations and descriptions of these RECs (SIA-TPMC, LLC, 2020a); most RECs are associated with specific buildings shown on **Figure 2**.

Treasury also analyzed the portion of the Project Site associated with the proposed entrance road and Powder Mill Road modifications. With the exception of two AOCs located within 0.25 mile, but outside, of the Project Site (see **Table 2** and **Figure 1**), no RECs or other HTMW concerns are anticipated in these areas (USDA, 2020).

Based on the RECs identified in the ECOP report, Treasury's proposed parcel qualifies as an ECOP Area Type 2, which is defined as an area or parcel of real property where only the release of petroleum products or their derivatives has occurred (SIA-TPMC, LLC, 2020a). To further evaluate these RECs, Treasury commissioned a Phase II Investigation (SIA-TPMC, LLC, 2020b). From October 14 to November 4, 2019, a total of 27 soil samples and 13 groundwater samples were collected to assess the potential risk posed to Treasury's proposed parcel from possible contamination sources (see **Figure 2**).





REC	Location	Description		
USTs	Building 261	Two USTs were identified in Building 261, located east of the Boiler House, from floor plans dated 1934. The current status of these USTs is unknown as none were visible during recent site reconnaissance.		
Petroleum-Related Spills	Buildings 236, 254, 255, 261, and 267	Twelve spills occurred between 1987 and 2009 on the Project Site. All reported incidents have been closed, with the last incidents occurring in October 2009 at Buildings 236 and 255.		
ACMs	Buildings 236, 252, 254, 255A, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 267, 270, 271, 273, 274, 275, 277, 278, 281	The following building materials used for these facilities were identified to contain ACM: shingles, pipe insulation, floor tiles, mastic, and dry wall.		
LBP and PCBs	All buildings constructed prior to 1978	LBP, other lead sources, and PCBs are potentially present in facilities constructed prior to 1978. As the buildings within the ROI were built between 1914 and 1957, Treasury assumes that LBP was likely used, and that PCBs are present within the ballasts in fluorescent light fixtures installed during that time.		
Radioactive Materials	Building 246	Radiological waste was historically stored in Building 246. The US Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Radiation Safety Division considers Building 246 released for unrestricted use and has determined that no additional radiation contamination surveys are required.		
Chemical and Biological Hazards	Entire Project Site	Potential chemical and biological hazards may be present within the Project Site given its historical use for poultry research and scientific studies.		
Rusted Equipment	Between Buildings 262 and 263	Rusted equipment was observed between Buildings 262 and 263.		
Historical Disposal Sites	Areas of Concern (AOCs) east of the Project Site	<ul> <li>Five AOCs located immediately adjacent to and within 0.25 mile to the east of the Project Site were identified as containing disposal sites (see Figure 1):</li> <li>1) Dump off Odell Road</li> <li>2) Dump off Old Poultry Road</li> <li>3) Possible Disposal Area</li> <li>4) Entech M23 Fill Area</li> <li>5) Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center</li> <li>The USEPA has issued a status of "no further action" for each AOC (USDA ARS, 2018). Therefore, these AOCs do not pose a hazard to environmental quality at the Project Site or elsewhere at BARC.</li> </ul>		

# Table 2: RECs in the ROI

The Phase II Investigation identified shallow soil contaminated by the pesticide Mecoprop (MCPP), although the ECOP report did not identify any known prior use of pesticides within Treasury's proposed parcel. MCPP concentrations were found at only two soil sample locations: one next to Building 252 and one next to Building 254 (see **Figure 2**). High concentration levels of arsenic were also detected in the shallow soil samples. While arsenic amounts at individual sample locations were slightly higher than background concentrations reported by the MDE, the average concentrations correlated with MDE-reported data, indicating levels typical of the area. Average radionuclide concentrations detected in soil were lower than naturally occurring background concentrations. Groundwater sampling results yielded high concentrations

of metals (e.g., arsenic, chromium, and lead) that exceeded screening levels; however, these concentrations naturally occur in the soil and sediment in the ROI.

Overall, no elevated HTMW concentrations associated with USTs, petroleum-related spill incidents, or other property conditions (e.g., rusted equipment, radionuclides, and biological and chemical hazards) were detected in the soil or groundwater samples collected within the vicinity of the RECs. Currently, the USDA does not use hazardous materials or generate hazardous waste at the Project Site. Of the three existing operational buildings on the Project Site, one is used for administrative purposes and the other two are used to support poultry research activities.

Treasury implements specific measures to ensure the safe management of hazardous materials associated with its operations. All industrial operations, including shipping and receiving, storage of production materials, and storage of municipal waste dumpsters, are conducted indoors, under roof, to prevent releases into the environment (such as through stormwater). Within all chemical use areas, drains are capped or otherwise protected against spills to prevent chemicals from entering sanitary sewers.

Treasury does not store any materials in USTs. Treasury ensures that all aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) have full spill protection with alarms and are installed such that all external tank walls can be visually inspected. Treasury also performs routine inspections of ASTs and portable chemical containers, hazardous material, and waste storage areas in accordance with regulatory requirements and industry standards, and performs corrosion monitoring of internal tank walls in accordance with industry standards.

Treasury trains its employees on waste management, spill prevention, and spill reporting procedures annually, and performs spill response drills. Treasury employs dedicated professionals to manage hazardous materials, waste, and spill prevention and response programs, and maintains contractual agreements with contractors to provide emergency spill response services on-site, as needed.

# 1.3 Environmental Effects

This section analyzes the potential HTMW impacts within the ROI that could occur under the Proposed Action (i.e., Preferred Alternative) and No Action Alternative. Measures to reduce potential adverse HTMW effects from the Proposed Action are also identified.

# 1.3.1 Approach to the Analysis

For this analysis, Treasury defined a significant adverse impact as one that would result in:

- An increase in the amount of hazardous substances used, stored, or requiring disposal by a site user beyond what is permitted or manageable.
- An increase in the potential for soil, surface water, or groundwater contamination within the ROI that could substantially increase human health or ecological risk.
- An interruption or impediment to any ongoing cleanup efforts.
- An interference with the unrestricted use of properties located outside of the Project Site due to contamination within the Project Site.

To determine potential HTMW impacts, Treasury analyzed the existing conditions at the Proposed Site though site investigations (see **Section 1.2.3**).

# 1.3.2 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, Treasury would not construct the proposed CPF at BARC. HTMW within the ROI would not change due to the Proposed Action.

The existing facilities within the Project Site may continue to fall into disrepair, potentially releasing existing contaminants into the environment (i.e., primarily nearby soils). These releases would likely be minor, occur very gradually and intermittently over an extended period of time, and remain within the immediate vicinity of the facilities.

If on-site buildings with ACM pipe insulation are exposed to wind (e.g., broken windows), there could be a potential health risk to BARC personnel that enter those buildings from airborne asbestos. The USDA, however, would be responsible for managing the buildings, including associated HTMW, in accordance with federal and state regulations. Therefore, any BARC employees required to approach the existing deteriorating buildings would do so in accordance with OSHA standards and the USDA's health and safety protocols, and would utilize appropriate personal protective equipment.

There would be no increase in human health risk to off-site receptors. Similarly, while contaminants could accumulate within the soils adjacent to the facilities, there would be no substantial increase in ecological risk due to the very minor nature of the releases. Therefore, there could be a continued *less-than-significant adverse impact* on the Project Site.

#### **1.3.3** Preferred Alternative

#### Construction

Implementation of the Proposed Action would require the demolition of existing buildings within the Project Site that likely contain regulated materials (see **Table 2**). Prior to conducting demolition activities, Treasury would conduct a survey to identify any regulated building materials and remove or encapsulate them to avoid the release of HTMW into the environment during the demolition process. Additionally, Treasury has not identified evidence of HTMW or former aboveground or belowground structures elsewhere within the Project Site outside Treasury's proposed parcel. Should an unexpected discovery of an HTMW concern occur during construction, however, work in that location would stop until the potential contamination is assessed and addressed.

With implementation of the impact-reduction measures described in **Section 1.4**, the removal and off-site disposal of regulated building materials would result in a **beneficial impact** on the environment of the ROI, as these materials would no longer be available for potential release due to lack of building maintenance. No contaminants were detected at concentrations that would pose a risk to construction workers.

The use of construction equipment and vehicles during construction of the Proposed Action would create the potential for discharge, spills, and contamination of commonly used products, such as diesel fuel, gasoline, oil, antifreeze, and lubricants, at the Project Site. Even without major release events, multiple minor releases could potentially affect the environment within the ROI. All hazardous materials or waste discovered, generated, or used during construction, however, would be handled, containerized, and disposed of in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations. With implementation of the impact-reduction measures described in **Section 1.4**, the potential for accidental releases of HTMW would have *less-than-significant adverse impacts* on the Project Site and ROI, which would be minimized to the extent practicable through adherence to these procedures and requirements.

# Operation

The proposed CPF would use limited quantities of hazardous materials for the currency production process, as documented in <u>Treasury's Tier II Emergency and Hazardous Chemical Inventory Report to the USEPA</u> (BEP, 2019). Hazardous materials may include solvents, acids, bases, inks, petroleum-based lubricants, fuels (e.g., diesel), and batteries. When not in use, hazardous materials would be stored in sealed, labeled containers and drums secured in marked cabinets, lockers, and tanks, and with appropriate secondary

containment. Some hazardous materials would also be stored in bulk quantities in a bulk chemical storage area.

Treasury would implement the same procedures at the proposed CPF as identified above in **Section 1.2.3** for its existing operations. In addition, Treasury would develop and implement a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan; an Emergency Response Plan that complies with OSHA Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) and USEPA RCRA regulations; and a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for the proposed CPF to standardize and codify Treasury's HTMW protocols on the Project Site.

As all hazardous materials would be stored and used according to applicable federal and state regulatory requirements and established Treasury procedures (BEP, 2017), these process controls, combined with Treasury's experience handling these hazardous materials without significant incident, would ensure that any adverse impacts or potential accidental release from the use, handling, or storage of HTMW during operation of the proposed CPF would be *less than significant*, and managed in accordance with all safety regulations.

**Table 3** summarizes the hazardous wastes anticipated to be generated at the proposed CPF in an average year (US Department of the Treasury, 2018). Generated hazardous waste would be managed on-site as described previously for hazardous materials and then transported off-site for treatment prior to disposal or incineration in accordance with federal and state requirements.

Material Description	Quantity Generated <sup>1</sup> (Ibs)	Disposal Method
Waste solvent from cleaning printing presses or other equipment, spill collection, and unused or expired shelf life non-halogenated solvents; Ignitable waste	7,200	Off-site treatment and landfill or surface impoundment
Residual solvents, inks, oils collected from punctured aerosol cans, and solvent wastes from quality testing of currency in a lab. Ignitable waste	360	Incineration
Caustic filters from wiping solution system in Intaglio plate printing with free liquids	820	Off-site treatment and landfill or surface impoundment
Waste oil	360	Off-site disposal

# Table 3: Anticipated Hazardous Waste Generation at the Proposed CPF

Source(s): (US Department of the Treasury, 2018)

1. Projected hazardous waste generation at the proposed CPF is based on hazardous waste generation in 2016 at the Western Currency Facility (WCF) in Fort Worth, Texas.

While **Table 3** provides anticipated hazardous waste amounts generated in an average year, the annual quantity of hazardous waste may vary depending on the demand for monetary notes and other requirements. Waste solvents are anticipated to be the primary source of hazardous waste during most years (US Department of the Treasury, 2018). Further, it is possible that demand and/or new environmentally sensitive manufacturing processes could reduce hazardous waste generation types and quantities in future years. For example, Treasury plans to replace the nickel and chrome plating operations, which are conducted at the DC Facility and WCF, with more environmentally friendly processes at the proposed CPF that would not generate hazardous waste or industrial wastewater.

The proposed CPF would use manufacturing process controls for hazardous waste containment (e.g., site curbs, containment basins), recycling, and on-site treatment of aqueous effluent generated during the production process (e.g., wastewater treatment processes; see the <u>Water Resources Technical</u> <u>Memorandum</u>) (BEP, 2019; US Department of the Treasury, 2018). Similar to hazardous materials

described above, hazardous wastes would be stored and handled on-site by trained personnel in highly regulated and controlled manners, and transported off-site for disposal in accordance with federal and state regulations. In addition, the proposed CPF would incorporate HTMW reduction initiatives in accordance with the Bureau of Engraving and Printing's (BEP) Environmental Management System Business Policy. Thus, operation of the proposed CPF would have *less-than-significant adverse impacts* on the types and quantities of hazardous wastes generated and Treasury's ability to manage these waste streams.

## 1.4 Impact-Reduction Measures

As part of the Proposed Action, Treasury would implement the following impact-reduction measures to minimize potential adverse HTMW impacts:

#### Pre-Construction

- Survey buildings slated for demolition to determine presence of regulated building materials that would need to be removed or encapsulated prior to demolition activities.
- Transport removed regulated building materials and contaminated soil to off-site, federally approved waste management facilities.
- Contract USEPA- and MD-licensed workers to conduct all survey and removal actions in accordance with applicable USEPA, MDE, and DOT regulations, including the <u>National Emissions</u> <u>Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Compliance Monitoring</u> for ACM (see the <u>Air</u> <u>Quality Technical Memorandum</u>), the RCRA for LBP, and the TSCA for PCBs.
- Consult with MDE's Resource Management Program to ensure proper management of HTMW during all phases of the Proposed Action.

#### Construction

- Implement construction best management practices (BMPs) to minimize impacts from accidental releases or potential discharge of construction materials and equipment.
- Implement spill and leak prevention and response procedures, including maintaining a spill kit at the Project Site.
- Report releases of regulated quantities of petroleum-based fluids to Treasury and the MDE and clean up releases according to applicable state regulatory requirements.
- In the event of an unexpected discovery of a HTMW concern, cease operations in that area until further characterization is performed and the HTMW is properly managed.

#### **Operation**

- Develop and implement a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan; Emergency Response Plan that complies with OSHA HAZWOPER and USEPA RCRA regulations; and a site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.
- Store and secure hazardous materials in appropriate sealed and labeled containers in marked cabinets, lockers, tanks, and storage areas.
- Incorporate hazardous material and waste reduction initiatives in accordance with the BEP's Environmental Management System Business Policy.

#### 1.5 Mitigation Measures

Treasury should implement the following project-specific mitigation measure to further reduce the potential for adverse HTMW impacts:

• Characterize soils during excavation, particularly in the vicinity of Buildings 252 and 254, and route any contaminated soils for proper disposal in accordance with applicable regulations.

## 1.6 References

- BEP. (2017, December 7). Hazardous Materials Handling and Storage, Office of Environment, Health and Safety; Revision 5.
- BEP. (2019). Tier Two Emergency and Hazardous Chemical Inventory. Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA.
- MDE. (2020, February 11). *Hazardous Waste Regulatory Oversight*. Retrieved from Hazardous Waste: https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/LAND/HazardousWaste/Pages/index.aspx
- SIA-TPMC, LLC. (2020a, January). Final Environmental Condition of Property Report, 104-Acre Parcel of Land Surrounding Poultry Road, Beltsville, MD. US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District.
- SIA-TPMC, LLC. (2020b, January). Final Phase II Investigation Report, 104-Acre Parcel of Land Surrounding Poultry Road, Beltsville, MD. Houston, Texas: US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore.
- US Department of the Treasury. (2018). *Environmental Assessment, Proposed Expansion of the Bureau* of Engraving and Printing, Western Currency Facility, Fort Worth, Texas. Fort Worth: BEP.
- USDA. (2020). Conclusion of no Hazardous or Toxic Materials or Waste (HTMW) on the proposed Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP) entrance road area at the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC), Prince George's County, Maryland.
- USDA ARS. (2018). Beltsville Agricultural Research Center Screening Investigations of Potential Areas of Concern. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- USEPA. (2020, February 25). *Protect Your Family from Exposures to Lead*. Retrieved from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Lead: https://www.epa.gov/lead/protect-your-family-exposureslead