



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BALTIMORE DISTRICT
STATE COLLEGE FIELD OFFICE
1631 SOUTH ATHERTON STREET, SUITE 101
STATE COLLEGE, PENNSYLVANIA 16801-6260

CENAB-OPR-R P 1100B

11 December 2024

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023),¹ NAB-2024-00383-P33 (Crown Prince Mining AJD).²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

CENAB-OPR-R P 1100B

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [NAB-2024-00383-P33]

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

The subject of this approved jurisdictional determination is a 152.2-acre site located in Bigler and Woodward Townships, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania (40.843827 N, -78.386438 W). The majority of the AOR has been mined previously. Much of the Upper Morgan Run watershed has been heavily mined by pre-law operations. Underground mining was conducted from the 1800's into the early 1900's. Many of these mines were left abandoned. In the mid 1900's strip mining became the prevalent method of mining. All the abandoned mines in the watershed have led to the degradation of the Upper Morgan Run watershed. Topographically, the Project Area consists of mountainous land draining to the southeast. Runoff from the Project Area flows south towards Upper Morgan Run (Figure 1). The AOR drains to Upper Morgan Run, which flows to the West Branch Susquehanna River, via Clearfield Creek.

The Corps received a request for a Department of the Army (DA) approved jurisdictional determination on 26 November 2024, for the subject site located in Bigler and Woodward Townships, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania. The information provided in the request package, supplied by the consultant, included a USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey map, USGS topographic map, aerial imagery, USFWS National Wetland Inventory Map, USGS National Hydrography Dataset, a site map, and wetland data sheets. On 10 December 2024, the Corps conducted a site visit and walked the entire 152.2-acre area of review as identified on the enclosed drawing dated October 18, 2024. The Corps' area of review (AOR) encompasses upland forested lands exhibiting low-lying areas. The project area has been heavily manipulated with prior evidence of deep underground mines and access roads. The soils on the site are mapped as Bethesda, Cedar creek, Cookport, Dekalb, Ernest, Hazletown, Hazleton-Clymber and Udorthents soils (NRCS Web Soil Survey, 2024). These soils and their minor components are classified as non-hydric. Based on field observations, supplemental information reviewed by the Corps, and in accordance with the protocol contained within the 1) Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Regional Supplement Version 2.0 and 2) 1987 Corps Delineation Manual, the Corps observed hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology indicators within the above-mentioned area of review. The Corps area of review (Figure 1) includes two emergent wetlands (0.24-acre and 0.10-acre). The Corps determined that all the referenced aquatic resources do not have a surface connection to jurisdictional waters. These features have developed and were abandoned due to historic mining activity (Figure 2 and 3).

Figure 1 - Project Area; area of review is delineated in green 152.2-acres.

CENAB-OPR-R P 1100B

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [NAB-2024-00383]



Figure 2 - Wetland F (0.10-acre) no continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water.

CENAB-OPR-R P 1100B

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in
Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [NAB-2024-00383-P33]



Figure 3 - Wetland A (0.23-acre) no continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water.

CENAB-OPR-R P 1100B

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in
Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [NAB-2024-00383-P33]



Figure 4 - Photo of break in connection between Wetland A and RPW Upper Morgan Run (500 linear feet).

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Wetland A – non-Jurisdictional
- b. Wetland F – non-Jurisdictional

2. REFERENCES.

- a. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”)
- b. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023)
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

3. REVIEW AREA. The area of review (AOR) (Figure 1) is comprised of approximately 152.2 acres, located in Bigler and Woodward Townships, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania. (Coordinates: 40.843827 N, -78.386438 W). The majority of the AOR has been mined previously. There is no flow path from any delineated wetlands or waters on the site to any interstate water, TNW or the territorial seas, or interstate water.

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. There is no flow path from any delineated wetlands or waters on the site to any interstate water, TNW or the territorial seas, or interstate water.

5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. None of the aquatic resources identified within the Area of Review have a flow path to interstate waters. There was no evidence of flow out of any of the existing aquatic resources.

6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁶: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic

⁶ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as “navigable in law” even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁷ N/A

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.

a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A

b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A

c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A

d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A

e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A

f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A

g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not "waters of the United States" even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature

⁷ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

CENAB-OPR-R P 1100B

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [NAB-2024-00383-P33]

within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁸ N/A

- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water). The Corps determined the following aquatic resources within the AOR do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water and therefore are not jurisdictional. The aquatic resources have developed because of historic mining activity.

- i. Wetland F (0.10-acre)
- ii. Wetland A (0.23-acre)

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.

- a. The Corps conducted a site visit on 10 December 2024, with a representative from MineTech Engineers, Inc.
- b. USGS Topographic Map, provided by requestor, AJD request package.
- c. Aerial Image of Site, provided by requestor, AJD request package.
- d. USFWS NWI Map, provided by requestor, AJD request package.

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

⁸ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)